

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/074,985	02/13/2002	Gote Karlsson	34354	6871	
	7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER		
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND	O, OH 44114-3108		3677		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

2

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/074,985	02/13/2002	Gote Karlsson	34354	
			EXAMINER Rodriguez, Ruth C.	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
			3677	12152004

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The timely submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) filed on 06 October 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because the Applicant failed to include arguments supporting his position that the amended claims are considered allowable. Since the submission appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). If a notice of appeal and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) were filed prior to or with the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) by applicant is construed as a request to dismiss the appeal and to continue prosecution under 37 CFR 1.129(a). The appeal stands dismissed.

Additionally, the Examiner will like to point out that newly added claims contain new subject matter. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Having the axle being an integral part of the lower part since the specification do not provide any details on how the arms of the lower part connect to the axle.

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER